IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 429 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

HEIRS OF DEC RAMPYARI BAI SHANTABEN D/O RAMPYARI AND

Versus

LEGAL HEIRS OF DEC MUSTAK AHMED ABDUL GAFUR

Appearance:

MR MC BHATT for Petitioner Nos. 1-3,4-5

..... for Petitioner No. 3/1-3/3

MR PV NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1

RULE SERVED for Respondent No. 1/1-6

RULE UNSERVED for Respondent No. 7

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 13/02/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.Vikram Thakore, learned Advocate appearing for

Mr.M.C. Bhatt, learned Advocate for the petitioners, states that the matter is settled between the parties and, therefore, he wants to withdraw this C.R.A. Permission is granted. This CRA stands disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged. Interim relief is vacated, with no order as to costs.

Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

13th February, 2004 (P.B. Majmudar, J.)

****

(apj)